

Feb. 27,2010

John Brace, and shareholders

Northland Power, Inc.

Dear Mr Brace,

Re McLeans Mountain Industrial Wind project

I am disappointed that NPI chose not to answer my letter dated Dec. 9, 2009. In the letter I asked you whether NP would be willing to accept responsibility for the adverse consequences that may result from the building of very large industrial wind turbines to the south and west of our property. Your company has stated it does not believe there will be adverse effects on human health, property values, the environment or to the tourist industry. You do admit there will be significant noise impacts on my property. As a medical doctor I am well aware of the large body of knowledge which shows that noise has the potential for serious impacts on human health mainly mediated through chronic sleep disturbance. I am sure you are aware that proper independent controlled studies have not yet been done but that increasing uncontrolled evidence and one small controlled study have suggested that the current setbacks in Ontario are not adequate. I am also aware that there is a divergence of opinion regarding the effect on land values with the newer studies suggesting significant adverse effects. I think it is fair to say that Northland Power stands to achieve significant financial gain from this project while we the local residents bear the risk of serious disruption to our lives. In my last letter I asked you if Northland was willing to "put its money where its mouth is" so to speak and assure local residents that NPI would accept responsibility for compensating us for damages to our health and properties should they occur as a result of this project. This seems fair to me as in this way the persons standing to gain (Northland investors) are also taking the risk. If you believe as you say that there is no risk, it should be an easy decision for you.

Because I do not expect Northland will choose to respond to this request, I will make the following points quite plainly:

1. I believe that there is now plenty of evidence that noise causes chronic sleep disturbance and significant health effects and that Northland Power is aware of this.
2. I believe that the noise study done by Northland Power significantly underestimates the noise impact on my property and that Northland Power is aware of this.
3. The provincial ministry of environment has admitted that they lack the ability to monitor and enforce noise regulations.
4. The MOE and the MOHLTC and the federal Health Canada have indicated that the current guidelines are not evidence-based. I believe that as the evidence accumulates a 2km setback will be implemented.

5. If Northland Power proceeds with this project I will be establishing an approved noise monitoring station at several locations. I will also monitor health consequences and effects on property values.
6. I will use the collected evidence in court to ensure that any of us who have harmful effects, predicted by any reasonable interpretation of the current evidence but currently denied by Northland Power, are fully compensated for damages caused by this project.

In April, 2000, the Supreme Court of Canada, in its decision ADGA v. Valcom, confirmed the principle that directors, officers and senior managers are personally responsible for the torts they participate in on behalf of their corporation. Generally speaking the ability of those individuals to rely on the shielding effect of an environmental assessment is greatly diminished and eliminated if there is an awareness of any flaws in the assessment procedure or grant of licence. It has been stated that such an awareness should trigger an intensive exercise of due diligence to ascertain and deal with the potential risks to others of the project. Failure to exercise due diligence in this situation has resulted in the finding of corporate and personal responsibility as well as Punitive Damages which are not covered by insurance.

This letter is intended to put you on notice of the necessity of exercising your own independent due diligence particularly with regards to increasing evidence of health related effects from too-close placement of wind turbines and to the very real possibility of significant property devaluation following the commissioning of the turbines. I would be grateful for an acknowledgement of the receipt of the same.

Yours Truly,

Roy Jeffery M.D.,FCFP
56 McLeans Mtn. Rd
Little Current.

Cc Northland Power
Municipal Council
MOE